

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/034,771 | 12/19/2001 | James R. H. Challenger | AUS920010794US1 | 1221 | |
| | 7590 03/17/2008 & TERRILE, LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| IBM Austin | | | BOUTAH, ALINA A | | |
| P.O. BOX 203: AUSTIN, TX 7 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2143 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/17/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/034,771 | CHALLENGER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ALINA N. BOUTAH | 2143 | |

| | ALINA N. BOUTAH | 2143 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(|). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The data- nave been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since a | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | | |
| 7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [n how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed. <u>8.9.22.23.36 and 37</u> . Claim(s) objected to: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| /Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2154 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: All claims are allowed. However, the specification is not consistent with the language of the claims. Specifically, page 155, lines 25, it is suggested that the term "computer readable media" be amended to read as "computer storage medium." Also, it is suggested that "paper" and "transmission-type media, such as digital and analog communication links" in lines 26-29 be deleted from the specification.